

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,115	01/12/2001	Shigefumi Odaohhara	JA999217	1975
7	590 11/12/2003		EXAM	IINER
Kevin P. Radi		DU, THUAN N		
5 Columbia Cir	OTHENBERG, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Albany, NY	12203	2185		
			D. TE M. H. ED. 11 (12 (20)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
		Application No.	plicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/760,115	ODAOHHARA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thuan N. Du	2185			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communications	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>12 January 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [2] All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince a specific reference was included in the Topical Company of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince for the first sentence acknowledgment is made of a claim	ments have been received. ments have been received priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies mestic priority under 35 U.S ne first sentence of the spec te provisional application ha mestic priority under 35 U.S	in Application No een received in this National Stage not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cification or in an Application Data Sheet. as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmer	• •	_				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/760,115

Art Unit: 2185

10 A

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3, 4, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 3 and 4 recite the limitation "the operation of any hardware component" in lines
- 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 5. Claims 12 and 13 recite the limitation "the operation of any hardware component" in line
- 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant admission of prior art [AAPA] and Urazoe et al. [Urazoe], U.S. Patent No. 6,058,501.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,115

Art Unit: 2185

8. Regarding claim 1, AAPA teaches a method substantially as claimed comprising the steps of:

reading out a result of said power-on self test [application's specification, p. 2, lines 11-14]; and

turning on the power again after stopping the power supply to said computer every time an error is detected [application's specification, p. 2, lines 16-17].

AAPA does not teaches the restarting the computer occurs only when a predetermined test result has been read out.

Urazoe teaches a method for detecting errors comprising the step of indicating error has occurred in the system only when a number of detected errors is greater than a predetermined threshold value [col. 4, lines 25-30]. If the number of errors is less than the threshold value, the system operates as in a normal condition [col. 4, lines 32-35].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of AAPA and Urazoe because it would reduce the booting time of the system by bypassing intermittent and/or non-critical errors if a number of errors has not reached a predetermined value.

9. Regarding claims 2-9, these claims are directed to method steps for controlling power of the computer of claim 1. As stated above, AAPA and Urazoe teach the invention substantially as set forth in claim 1. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that AAPA and Urazoe may obviously also teach the method steps of claim 1 as set forth in claims 2-9. As such, claims 2-9 are rejected under the same rationale with respect to claim 1.

10. Regarding claims 10-22, AAPA and Urazoe together teach the claimed method steps. Therefore, AAPA and Urazoe together teach the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.

#### Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292 or via e-mail, **thuan.du@uspto.gov**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 2327 Arlington, VA 22202.

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

Thuan N. Du

November 7, 2003